Algorithmic Domination

<u>Motivation</u>: To provide a unifying theoretical framework that describes and explains concerns about algorithmic governance (*descriptive desiderata*) and provides normative guidance about how to respond to those concerns (*normative desiderata*).

<u>Thesis:</u> The republican political concept of *domination* provides this unifying theoretical framework, particularly if the concept is understood to cover instances of *microdomination*, extractive domination, and constitutive domination.

<u>**Definition**</u>: Domination = Asymmetrical power relation that pervades hierarchically organized societies. Often discussed in relation to freedom: to be free is to be free from domination (i.e. modal risk of arbitrary interference by the *dominus*) – Pettit/Skinner

1. Micro-domination (from Tom O'Shea 'Disability and Domination')

What is it?	Algorithmic Mechanism
Many small-scale instances of domination	Vast ecosystem of algorithmic choice
(i.e. decisions in which a dominus defines a	architectures that we face on a daily basis;
space of permissible activity) which seem	they define a space of permissible choices; if
trivial by themselves but aggregate into	we step out of line we are
something serious.	sanctioned/disciplined (e.g. Vertesi's
	pregnancy)

2. Extractive Domination (from Michael Thompson 'Two faces of domination...')

What is it?	Algorithmic Mechanism
A is in a structural relation with B whose	The infrastructure of surveillance capitalism
purpose is to enable A to extract a surplus	(Zuboff 2015). Our online behaviours feed
benefit from B . 'Surplus benefit' = benefit	and are incentivized by systems that extract
that would otherwise have gone to B or to	profit from our data. No/limited
the community	compensation for this; profit goes to digital
	monopolies. Worse than traditional
	capitalist-worker relation?

3. Constitutive Domination (from Michael Thompson 'Two faces of domination...')

What is it?	Algorithmic Mechanism
A system of norms and values that	Attentional manipulation – systems of
legitimizes the hierarchical and extractive	surveillance capitalism capture and control
social order. False Consciousness = The	our attention; personalization and
potential interference from the dominus	habituation – the systems train and reward
seems non-arbitrary; acting outside the	certain kinds of behaviour; learned
space of permissible activity starts to seem	helplessness - can't have the algorithmically-
inconceivable.	mediated efficiency and convenience without
	compliance.

Conclusion: Domination -- understood to include micro-domination, extractive domination and constitutive domination – describes the problem ('names the enemy') and provides normative guidance: need to create tools (legal, normative, technical) that dismantle and neutralize domination.

Feedback/criticisms welcome: john.danaher@nuigalway.ie

Objections

It's not really 'algorithmic' domination; algorithms are the tools by which humans dominate.

Micro-domination is 'concept creep' – it risks hypersensitivity to algorithmic convenience.

You overstate the extent of extractive dominance – there is some benefit to the individual and community; it is opt in.

You overstate the extent of constitutive domination – some degree of this is unavoidable

We already have remedies for the problems identified (e.g. GDPR).

Replies